Approved For Release 2005/06/08: CIA-RDP78B05171A000800070016-8

24 June 1909

25X1

MEMORANIUM FOR: Chief, Development & Engineering Division, TSSG/NPIC

SUBJECT

25X1

: Dual Gamma Processing as Evaluated by EL/ESD

REFERENCE

Memorandum. Subject: Dual Gamma Processing, Dated 8 May

(ESD/EL)

1. The reference presents an evaluation of the dual gamma film developing technique. The primary conclusion reported is: "If there is a reason for adopting dual gamma processing, it is not evident on sensitometric grounds except for a very small gain in the toe region of the curve." This conclusion is erroneous and the arguments supporting it are ambiguous. This memorandum attempts to clarify the situation.

- 2. The many advantages of the dual gamma process include better processing control, increased flexibility for changing chemistry for abnormally exposed film, improved O-N definition, and one step processing. These assets notwithstanding, the primary advantage does in fact result directly from the improved sensitometric characteristics provided by the dual gamma process. Consider the sensitometric curves of dual gamma process and its predecessor. Over-exposure means that energy above a certain level results in an negative image which is too dark, and which in turn produces a positive of poor quality when printed. Experience and theory show that if the negative film can be made to record energy above this level, so that the negative is not over-exposed (too dark) in this region, the negative film will have increased utility, i.e., its exposure range will have been expanded. This is what the dual gamma process provides.
 - 3. As an illustration, consider the curves in figure 1. The single gamma curve represents the before dual gamma situation; exposures producing densities above d1 were of little value and not to be desired. Consequently, objects having luminances above E1 were not recorded properly. The dual gamma curve shows that exposure E produces a density well below d in fact, exposures up to E2 are now going to be reproduced satisfactorily. In effect, the useful exposure range has been increased or in equivalent terms, the exposure latitude of the negative has been extended. This means tone reproduction will improve and the margin for exposure errors has been increased.
 - 4. The statements in the reference arguing for application of the same criteria to both processes are logically and technically inaccurate. Logically, one might expect different criteria for different processes designed to achieve different objectives. Technically, sensitometrically, it is essential

Declass Review by

GROUP 1 declassification

NIMA/DOD

Approved For Release 2005/06/08: CIA-RDP78B05171A000800070016-8

SUBJECT: Dual Gamma Processing as Evaluated by EL/ESD

to modify the parameters as the process is modified. For example, the ASA standard methods and criteria for measuring film contrast, speed, and density have undergone modifications as technology evolved. It is particularly important to note that the decision to "shift to the right" was indeed arbitrary. Contrary to the objections in the reference, this action was better than none at all since it capitalized on a main advantage of the process, i.e., increased exposure latitude. Lacking input from the community, this was a reasonable action. Studies are underway to establish the actual figures more precisely.

- 5. The statements relating visibility to detail and modulation in paragraph 5 of the reference are technically incorrect and in addition the points addressed are not germane. These factors should be judged from the positive print. The lower gradient in the dual gamma shoulder may well improve quality from a noise and contrast point of view in terms of the dupe positive quality.
- 6. The reference, taken at face value, could be misleading and cause concern where none is justified.

	mpic/TSSG/DED
_	

25X1

Distribution:

Cy 1 - Addressee

/2 - NPIC/TSSG/DED

3 - NPIC/TESG/DED/

(24 June 69) 25X1 NPIC/TSSG/DED/

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/06/08: CIA-RDP78B05171A000800070016-8 SOXIO TO THE INCH Dd, Approved For Release 2005/66/08 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000800070016-8 UNCLASSIFIED when blank portoled Karrenges to Secret when filled in form is a ched from controlled document.

							~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
~~~***	4 3 7 7	$\alpha \alpha m m$	CATTOTORY	$\mathbf{m} \wedge \mathbf{n}$	$\mathbf{r}$	יויים כוריוים	DOCUMENT
CONTRACT	ANII	TYDVER	SHERR	HUIK	1117	シレハレロエ	DOCUMENT

									REGISTRY	
25 DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION								OL NO.		
NPIC/TSSG										
DOC. NO.						DATE DOCU	MENT RECEIVED	ŀ		
DOC. DATE 24	June <b>1</b> 90	59								
EOPY NO. 2								LOGGED BY		
NUMBER OF PAG										
<b>⇒UMBER OF ATT</b>	ACHMENTS									
or classified Top transmitted outs duties relate to and indicate pe	p Secret with side of CIA. the matter. riod of custon	iin the CIA and Access to Top S Top Secret Cont	i will rem Secret mat rol Officers and colum	iain atto ter is lir s who re ns provi	iched to nited to ceive and	the docu Top Secr l/or relea	ment until si et Control pe ise the attach	uch time as it is o rsonnel and those ed Top Secret ma	ntral Intelligence downgraded, destro individuals whose terial will sign th document will si	yed, or official is form
EFERRED TO RECEIVED		RECEIVED			RELEASED			SEEN BY		
OFFICE	S I GI	NATURE	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	5 1	GNATURE	OFFICE/DIV.	DATE
						<del> </del>				
						1				
						<del> </del>				<del> </del>
	2 to 10 to 1									
NOTICE OF DE	TACHMENT:	When this form Top Secret Cont	n is detac	hed from	n Top Se	cret mate	erial it shall t	pe completed in th	e appropriate space	es below
DOWNGRADED			DESTROYED				DISPATCHED (OUTSIDE CIA)			
TO DOWNGRADED							ТО			
BY (Signature)		WITNES	WITNESSED BY (Signature)				BY (Signature)			
OFFICE DATE Approved		OFFICE For Re		2005/06	6/08 : C		OFFICE B05171A0008	DATE 800070016-8		